

MINUTES OF
AN ORDINARY MEETING
OF
HARBURY PARISH COUNCIL

Held in the Village Hall, Harbury on Thursday 22 February 2007 Page 415

Present: Cllrs Lockley (Chairman), Patrick, Hancock, Mancell, Winchester & Van Spall TD.

Apologies: Parish Cllrs Thompson, Thornley, Ellis and Biddle. District Cllr Dally and P C Hill. County Cllr Stevens sent his apologies for arriving late.

In Attendance: Mrs N Thompson (Clerk), District Cllr Patrick, PCSO Reading, Mr C Barlow (WRCC), Mr P Eccleshare (SWRHA) and three members of the public.

07/014 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION – there were no members of the public wishing to speak.

07/015 MINUTES - 26th October 2006

It was resolved that the minutes of the **Ordinary Meeting** of the Parish Council of 25th January 2007 having been circulated be taken as read and signed as a true record.

07/016 DECLARATION OF INTEREST ON ITEMS ON THE AGENDA

The following interests were declared by members:-

Cllr Patrick declared an interest in item 8Bii – Proposed Installation of O2 Mast as she lives close to where the mast is to be sited.

07/017 SWRHA – BUSH HEATH LANE SITE

The Chairman welcomed Paul Eccleshare and Charles Barlow to the meeting. Mr Barlow reported that it had now been nearly a year since they had reported back on the progress of the Bush Heath Lane site and quite a lot of work had been carried out in that time. This included carrying out Highways speed and access checks and liaising with the landowner, the architect and with Planning and Building Control. Consideration was also currently being given to the necessary restrictions to place on the homes to limit their availability to local people only. With regard to the owner occupied houses this has never been done in the area before. Mr Barlow circulated plans of the proposed development which showed three phases giving a possible total of fifty houses in all although it was noted that not all of the phases may be built in reality. It was noted that the current density of the houses followed current SDC guidelines of 30 houses per hectare although it may be possible to reduce this, particularly given the village environment of the development. Cllr Mancell queried whether the development reflected local need accurately enough given that the housing survey had been carried out over two years ago. Mr Barlow agreed that it would probably be sensible to update the Survey possibly after completion of phase one. Cllr Patrick asked if there were any bungalows included in the development and it was confirmed that there are four. In conclusion, Mr Barlow said that the next stage would be to hold a Community Consultation hopefully in

June or July of this year. The Council agreed that both the Planning and Environment Working Parties would look at the plans in more details, particularly with relevance to the density of the scheme. Cllr Van Spall asked for thanks to Mr Barlow and Mr Eccleshare to be minuted with regard to this matter and also to Mr Barlow for his help in clarifying the complex information circulated at a recent stakeholder's meeting re Bishop Bowl Lakes.

Mr Barlow and Mr Eccleshare left the meeting.

07/018 REPORTS FROM OTHER BODIES

- i Harbury School Governors (KE) – nothing to report.
- ii Public Safety
PCSO Reading reported that a letter had been sent to the parents of several young people who had been spoken to by the Police about anti-social behaviour behind the Wight School and, further to this, there had been no further complaints from nearby residents. There had also been no further complaints received regarding youths meeting in the bus shelter. Southam Police had now been able to purchase a replacement speed camera and hoped to use this in the area in the near future. PCSO Reading also briefly explained about a new Government initiative PACT – Partnership Approach to Partners and Communities Together. A representative from the Police will try to attend all Parish Council meetings from now on to hear about any concerns that councillors or members of the public may have about policing issues in the village. It is possible that more significant issues can be deferred to a PACT panel which will select problems on which to concentrate resources. This will not however result in less important issues being ignored. The clerk has placed an article in the Harbury News about this and it has also been included on the Parish Council website.

County Cllr Stevens joined the meeting.

- iii Smartwater (JP)
Deliveries of the Smartwater packs should shortly be completed in Mill Street. Volunteers were now required to deliver to Sutcliffe Drive, Dickens Road, Honiwell Close and Farley Avenue.
- iv Southam College (SH)
The Governing Body had not met since the last PC meeting. Cllr Van Spall reported that three students from the College had been shortlisted to appear in this year's Teen Idol contest which is an outstanding achievement.
- v Twinning Association (SH)
The next planned event is a fund raising quiz to be held on 4th March.
- vi Village Hall (JP)
The Village Hall Steering Group is continuing to liaise with the architect with regard to the redevelopment of the Hall. One interesting idea that had been raised would be to include geothermal energy in the plans. This would be an ambitious proposal and complicated to install however.

vii WALC/ NALC

The clerk had circulated some information regarding a forthcoming WALC seminar to be held on Saturday 10th March. The clerk and Cllr Thornley will attend on behalf of the Council; any other cllrs interested in attending should contact the clerk as soon as possible.

07\019 DEPPERS BRIDGE**A. Matters Arising**

i Harbury Station Bridge

Cllr Van Spall reported that a meeting had been arranged for 23rd February at Harbury Village Hall in order to further discuss the proposals for the bridge. Cllr Van Spall however expressed serious reservations about this meeting as he personally had received no paperwork on it. The clerk confirmed that she had been e-mailed the paperwork today but a copy had not been sent by WCC to Cllr Van Spall. It was agreed that a letter of complaint regarding this should be sent to WCC. Cllr Van Spall will draft this and forward to the clerk.

B Correspondence – none.**C Members Items**

i Traffic Calming Chicanes

Cllr Van Spall reported that the chicanes had been damaged once again. The clerk will report to Highways.

07\021 PLANNING**A Decisions on Planning Applications**

i Permission Granted with conditions by SDC:

Farm, Bush Heath Road: Demolition of lean-to barn to allow for construction of new pitched barn.

19 Farley Avenue: Two storey extension to side and rear of existing, pitched roof to garage and front porch.

24 Pineham Avenue: First floor extension to side of existing.

Bramley House, 3 Farm Close: Proposed first floor extension above existing garages.

Bridge End Farm, Bull Ring Farm Road: Repositioning of existing caravan.

ii East Area Planning Committee: nothing to report

iii Parish Council Made No Representation On The Following:

Tichsa, 10 Greenhill Farm: Install a small wind turbine.

Bramley House, 3 Farm Close: Proposed first floor extension above existing garages.

At End of Burnside Land, Deppers Bridge: H1, removal of a 70m stretch of hedgerow approximately 100m from Deppers Bridge Sewerage Works, O.S grid ref: SP39927 60002.

4 Fox Close: Bedroom & kitchen extension and conservatory.

Harbury Rugby Football Club, Middle Road: Widening of existing access from Middle Road into ground to allow improved exit and entrance and the construction of low feature wall on either side of proposed access.

14 Park Lane: Remove existing flat roof to rear extension, replace with shallow pitched tiled roof to match original house, new French doors and corner glazed panels.

iv The PC Made Representations on the Following :

9 Farm Street: Extensions to rear: COMMENT: This property is within the conservation area and appropriate materials should be used at all times.

26 Binswood End: New garage on the front of the site set back approximately 5m from the back of the footpath: COMMENT: Consideration should be given to the fact that the side elevation of the proposed garage may block out light from the adjoining property.

Bishop Bowl Lakes, Bishops Itchington: 21 Lodges, associated car parking and highway junction improvements: OBJECT: 1) **The applicant wishes to change the original intended use of the lodges to encompass a much larger and more intrusive development.** The Parish Council considers that this is a completely new application for “leisure lodges” and would involve a much greater potential expansion of the site. The original application 03/02132/FUL, renewal of S98/0607 for 21 fishing lodges has been granted with the condition that the lodges are used for the purposes of fishermen only. The current application goes to some lengths to explain why the current proposed lodges would need to be better equipped. In item 2.12 of the Planning Supporting Statement, the example of Centre Parcs is given and it is argued that the lodges proposed in this application “fit with the expectations of the current leisure market”. Harbury PC would argue however that this development was never intended to become a large scale leisure park and development of this scale would be detrimental to the surrounding area and to the site itself. The District Authority should bear in mind the policy no PPG21 (Tourism) in the Local Plan which states that development should be “appropriate in scale and location” particularly with regard to Sites of Special Scientific Interest. Harbury PC would argue that the proposed scale of development here is not appropriate.

2) **The proposed highway junction improvements are greater than is needed by this application.** The application seeks extensive “improvement” and widening of the B4451 on both the west (leading into the area to which this application relates) and the East side of the road. The Parish Council can see no reason for including the improvement of the East side of the road leading into the Harbury Estate, including a non-pedestrian island and traffic bollards, within this application as it does not refer in any way to this piece of land.

3) **Increased traffic movements proposed by this application would be substantial and detrimental to the surrounding area.** The applicants state (PSS 4.30) that there would be “no difference between this proposal and the previous proposal for lodges in terms of proximity to main roads or traffic movements.” Harbury PC would argue that there would be a significant impact on traffic movements caused by the increased scale of the development. This application appears to be pitched at the current leisure market, particularly families, (see point 1 made above) and as such most of those using the site would come from outside the area and, as some of the lodges have three bedrooms may well be using more than one car. It is highly unlikely that such visitors would make use of public transport as suggested in the Planning Supporting Statement 5.6 and indeed this would tend to contradict the purported wishes of families to have higher standard of accommodation and greater luxury as suggested in item 2.12. We would wish to point out that although the applicant clearly sees the need for an extensive widening of the road as discussed above there is no traffic impact survey included with the application and that this is an omission that cannot be overlooked.

4) **The applicant has not demonstrated a local need for this application.** The original application would have served the needs of local fishermen, whereas

this application is clearly intended for a far larger market. There is no justification or explanation within the application as to how this could serve the needs of the adjoining mainly rural communities. Given that unemployment in the surrounding areas is currently extremely low, it is likely that service staff will need to be brought in from elsewhere, again increasing traffic movements.

5) The application is contrary to the spirit of the masterplan process.

Harbury Parish Council, along with its neighbouring parishes has participated fully in the current masterplan process for the site initiated by the Inspector's findings into the Local Plan Review and will continue to do so until a suitable use(s) has been found for the site which serves the local community and respects the important ecological aspects of the site itself. This application is contrary to the spirit and process of the masterplan.

Given the above points, Harbury Parish Council believes that a development of this type would be hugely detrimental to the parish of Harbury and Deppers Bridge and that local people would have no significant gain from it. On this basis the application should be refused.

Bishop Bowl Lakes, Bishops Itchington: Fisherman's clubhouse, car parking, highway junction improvements and associated infrastructure (amendment to approved ref 05/00517/REM): OBJECT: 1) Planning permission for a Fisherman's Clubhouse already exists (application 05/000517/REM) and the effect of this current application would be to remove the conditions attached to the previous application which were placed on it at appeal. The building under its current permissions is to be for fishermen only and its shop is to sell exclusively fishing relating items. Harbury Parish Council would wish to see this condition retained as to dispense with it would allow the building to be opened up for "general leisure purposes". To remove the existing conditions could potentially result in the building being extensively used for other activities than those necessary to support fisherman.

2) There is no traffic survey included in this application although the applicant does foresee the need for extensive widening of the carriageway and junctions on both the East and West side of the B4451. It is the view of Harbury Parish Council that this development will increase traffic movements significantly and would ask that the applicant be requested to quantify this before any further permission is granted.

3) The applicant has not demonstrated any local need for this new application. Whereas the existing permission could be argued to be exclusively for fishermen and therefore serving the leisure needs of a predominately local market, the Council sees no reason to remove the existing conditions and would argue that a removal of those conditions could be detrimental to the surrounding area in terms of increased traffic, noise and larger visitor numbers.

4) This application is contrary to the spirit of the masterplan process. Harbury Pc is a full contributor to the masterplan and considers that any new applications submitted before this process is completed effectively undermine the process itself and place those taking part and, particularly, Stratford District Council in an impossible position. Although, it is usual to consider any application in isolation, the Parish Council would request that this application is viewed as, at least in part, as part of the whole development of the Bishop Bowl Lakes site on both the East and West sides of the road. The masterplan process seeks to do this by mapping out a plan for the whole area which is agreed by the all the involved stakeholders.

Given the above points, Harbury Parish Council would request that this application is refused.

Sharmer Fields House, Fosse Way: Proposed two storey detached building for additional accommodation for the care home: COMMENT: - it would be preferred if the applicant could submit a “whole plan” for the site rather than submitting applications one at a time.

- two sets of photographs are included in the online plans but are missing from the hard copy of the application. Online, the Site Certificate Notice is in fact a location plan dated after the rest of the documents.

Bishop Bowls Lakes, Bishops Itchington: Erection of Single Storey Watersports Building 07/00018/FUL; Harbury Parish Council would strongly recommend that the District Authority reject this application until the Master Plan process has been completed. When a plan is agreed for the whole site it would then be appropriate to consider new applications. To grant any application prior to that, is to assume that that application will be included in the Master plan. This amounts to forcing the issues involved in that process. Piecemeal detailed plans at this stage are not helpful to gaining an overall plan which the inspector stated should happen. In detail, the Council would like to highlight the following in this application:

Planning support statement

2.7 The application asserts that it has been made in order to extend the life of the permission. We are unsure of this point. Why should this be extended when a Masterplan is in process?

2.8 The applicant asserts that “the new sedum roof will dispose of rain water”. Please see below our concerns under section 3.12.

3.3 This refers to Local Policy CTY 20, again we would refer to the Masterplan being in place first. This plan assumes too much as having been agreed. These plans should show a business plan for the use of this watersports centre as not something that is being replaced and we consider a large centre such as this does not fulfil the local need. We feel that the applicants consider it to be an opportunity to develop the site into a large watersports centre similar to that at Cirencester which had huge traffic implications in that area and which encourages people from across the whole country to visit not just from the local area but as a holiday centre for families.

Are we really accepting this as a sub regional recreational site? If so this will have a major impact on transport in the area which we consider should have an environmental impact study with regard to the local settlements and not just of the SSSI site itself.

3.12 we would disagree that pre-commencement conditions should be lifted as we do not consider that this application’s documents to be completely accurate. The highway improvements are to be considered in the Masterplan process and have not as far as we are aware been agreed with WCC (2.13 Design and Access statement)

As this is a site of SSSI we would like WARRINGTON to be involved with this application to verify several of the contentious issues not least the fact that Sedum will be sufficient to act as a sponge for the surface rainwater (has a capacity flow been done) or the sedge reed beds for sewage disposal. (planning support doc 3.9)

Design and Access statement

2.2 Assumes this as part of a larger development which has yet to be decided in the Master plan process.

2.5- The applicants argue that the use of the site as a leisure and recreational facility focusing around the water has been established. In fact, established leisure activities have not taken place recently, therefore we would argue that

although there is extant provision this has only been used for fishing currently and no other sports as listed in their planning information. (Planning doc 3.11)

2.9 The applicants confirm that the watersports are within the fishing complex- the PC would argue that these two activities are in fact incompatible. (Traffic passing fishermen to and from possible loud watersports ?)

2.12 With regard to the proposed drainage system, we would question the size and suitability of this arrangement. Are these plans proven and workable at this site? As this is a low lying area and reed beds require a water flow that may not be fit for purpose. Also should this system be considered with the whole site in mind? Will it be sufficient for 21 large fishing lodges, a dwelling and a large conference centre as well as the sports HQ which has a large catering kitchen? Again we refer to a total plan being agreed.

2.13 This clause deals with access. We would question the whole highways suggestion for this access. If it is intended to service the watersports HQ which they argue why have they put access into the opposite side of the B4451 to the other part of the site. This is irrelevant to this application.

3.3 The above point rather negates this point in that it will not provide alternatives to the car as they are obviously going to encourage car use by providing excellent access. We see no evidence of cycle ways for footpaths that do encroach on the site nor suitable facilities for disabled. The plan does not show disabled toilet facilities. The travel needs of the local population are currently catered for to this site.

3.5 This development is hardly going to have an ecological benefit to the area.

3.13/3.14 these pre-suppose the outcome of the masterplan process again.

3.15 We cannot see how this will be sustainable or promote local distinctiveness

4.3 All the above hardly support the landscape character as tranquil and enclosed.

Summary

5.1 assumes again the masterplan and forces the issues for decisions to be made during this process

5.2 Functions creep in again. We would not want this to be open for public functions such as weddings and 21st, stagnight parties.

5.3 This refers to a **weigh room** and the **clubhouse** suggesting it has been lifted totally from the previous application for the fisherman's clubhouse. Can we please check that we are not being fooled into making decisions that are not clear? You don't need a weigh room at the watersports HQ and it should not be seen as a clubhouse.

5.4 This is not an accurate reflection of the local bus services.

Given the above points, and given that the master plan process is still ongoing, Harbury Parish Council would wish to see this application refused.

- v Permission Refused by SDC: nothing to report
- vi Enforcement notices issued - none
- vii Appeals: Pending: Bishop Bowl Lakes, appeal by Follett Property Holdings, use of land as a distribution centre and depot.
- viii Tree Preservation Order: none

B Other Planning Matters

- i Celebration of Excellence Design Award
Details of this SDC Award Scheme had been circulated to all members of the Planning W/P. It was agreed, however, that there were no suitable buildings in the village to be nominated.
- ii Proposed Installation of O2 Telegraph Pole – Harbury Telephone Exchange
A pre-application consultation had been received and circulated to all members of Planning. Following discussion, it was agreed that the clerk should respond to this by making the following points:
 - the proposed mast may be contrary to the Village Design Statement by compromising views of the windmill. The site is also very close to the Conservation Area.
 - The possibility of mast sharing with the Lower Westfields Farm site should be investigated.

C Correspondence – none.

- i Planning Inspectorate – Appeal by Follett Property Holdings
Correspondence had been received from the Planning Inspectorate stating that the Inquiry into this Appeal (Use of Land as Distribution Centre) will be held on 15th May at The Council Chambers, Elizabeth House commencing at 10.00a.m. Cllr Van Spall will attend on behalf of the Council.

D Members Items – none.**07/021 PROPERTIES****A Arising from the Minutes**

- i BMX Project – nothing to report.
- ii Playground Reports
Quarterly play inspection reports had been received from Coventry City Council. These had highlighted that the entrance post to the children's play area is loose which the clerk confirmed was in hand. The clerk was also requested to ask SDC to replace the cover on the damaged bin. There were no other outstanding issues of concern. A quotation had also been received from Coventry City Council for £395 for a full annual inspection. It was agreed however, that this would not be necessary given the regime of inspections that is already in place. With regard to the drainage work recently carried out, Cllr Hancock had been to look at this again and was unable to ascertain if the work had been carried out successfully or not as there was still water remaining in the ditch. The clerk was instructed to contact the contractor again about this.
- iii Harbury Tennis & Netball Clubs
Cllr Hancock had met with a contractor who intended to quote for the resurfacing of both the Netball Court and the Basketball Court. The quotation will be forwarded to the Tennis Club, however, the contractor had advised that, due to the wetness of the field at present, the work could not commence before the Summer.

iv Cemetery Path

The clerk has written to the contractor accepting the quotation as agreed at the January meeting. Further to this, the contractor has advised that the work should commence at the end of March.

v Cemetery Chapel

The clerk had been given a quotation for £279.65 from Tamarra Glass for repairing the Chapel glass with plain glass with lead patterning stuck on. This was not a direct replacement of the existing but the current Victorian leaded glass was extremely expensive and would cost in excess of £1000 to replace. **It was resolved:** that this work should be carried out, subject to a maximum of £300 and that the clerk should check out a possible alternative quote from Leamington Glass.

vi Village Maintenance Contract 2007-2010

The clerk had sent out several information packs in response to queries about the maintenance contract but only one quotation had been received which had been circulated to all members. Following discussion, **it was resolved:** that the quotation from R P Hall & Sons for £10298.06 plus VAT for 2007-2008 plus 3% increases on this figure for both 2008-2009 and 2009-2010 should be accepted.

B Correspondence

i Harbury Carnival 2007

Correspondence had been received requesting permission to hold the Carnival as usual on the second Saturday in June. This was agreed.

ii Harbury Playing Fields – Repair of Damaged Ground

A quotation had been received from P C & D Ltd to repair the rutting in the access section of the playing fields. This was found to be at a rate of £590 per day plus VAT with an estimate that the work would take two days to complete. It was agreed that this quotation was not acceptable and the clerk was requested to ask if Mr Hall would be able to carry out this work and also fill in the large hole in front of the small goalposts.

C Members Items

i Children's Play Area Sign

It was noted that the Highway's sign alerting motorists and others to the children's play area at Deppers bridge was missing. The clerk was asked to request that Highways replace it.

ii Access to Playing Fields

Cllr Winchester commented that the chain which prevents access to the playing fields is currently not in use because it requires a new padlock. Both of the posts are still in place however. The clerk was requested to look into this.

07\022 ENVIRONMENTA Arising from the Minutes

i Street Lighting

The clerk had obtained a quotation for the proposed new light in Hall Lane. However, due to there not being a suitable electric cable laid at this location, this was found to be in excess of £3500. Members felt that this was too expensive to

justify at this time. It was agreed that Cllr Lockley will report back to the householders who had complained about lighting in Hall Lane.

- ii Village Improvements – nothing to report.
- iii Traffic Management – nothing to report. Cllr Lockley has had no further feedback from WCC.

B Correspondence

- i Maintenance of Footway Lighting 2007 -2008
A quotation had been received from WCC Streetlighting for the maintenance of footway lighting for 2007 -08. **It was resolved:** that this quotation be accepted for £13.50 per light based on 135 lights. This is a 2% increase on 2006-2007
- ii Best Kept Village 2007
Application forms had been received for the Best Kept Village Competition 2007. It was agreed that the Council would submit an entry on behalf of the village.
- iii Bendigo Mitchell Crossroads
A response had been received from WCC in response to the Council's concerns regarding this crossroads. No definite accident pattern could be seen from incidents at the crossroads which would indicate that the left hand turning lane was obstructing visibility.

C Members Items

- i Highway Issues
The clerk was instructed to report the following to Highways:
 - loose kerbstone in Church Street, near to electricity sub-station
 - Hole in footpath outside no 4 South Parade near to corner by the Cemetery
 - At same location a manhole cover has come off and been broken into three pieces.
- ii B4451
Cllr Van Spall asked Cllr Stevens if he knew of any talks with regard to possible "improvement schemes" for this road as suggested by Follett Property Holdings. Cllr Stevens confirmed that the proposed junction as included in recent planning applications made by Folletts does not meet road safety standards.
- iii Grass Verge – South Parade
District Cllr Patrick confirmed that some progress had been made in allowing the householder to widen his drive to allow better access for pedestrians.

07\023 FINANCE AND GENERAL PURPOSES

A Arising from the Minutes

- i Parish Council Dates
The clerk has booked the Tom Hauley Rooms for the Annual Parish Meeting and will circulate a revised schedule of dates in due course.

B Correspondence

- i Review of the Quality Parish Scheme
Correspondence had been circulated to members regarding the extension of time allowed to Quality Parish Councils before they need to apply for re-

accreditation. This is to allow possible Government proposals to amend the scheme to be considered.

C Members Items –none

- i Upgrade of Office & Council Equipment
Cllr Mancell said that he intended attending an office equipment Trade Show in the early part of March and requested permission from the Council to purchase a projector/video camera as agreed at the January meeting if a reasonable one could be found. This was resolved: up to the value of £600, to be covered by the Awards for All grant.

07\024 ACCOUNTS FOR PAYMENT Proposed by Cllr Van Spall and seconded by Cllr Hancock.

100863	Harbury Rugby Football Club - Donation	800.00*
100864	Harbury 1 st Rainbows - Donation	300.00*
100865	Harbury Junior Football Club – Reimbursement for pitch marking	293.75*
100866	Warks County Council – Streetlight Maintenance 2006/07 and New Streetlight – Pineham Avenue	2985.49
100867	WALC – Training Seminar	70.00
100868	Soc. For Local Council Clerks – Training Seminar	47.00
100869	Harbury & Ladbroke News - Advertisement	90.00
100870	J H Griffin – Connection of Christmas Tree Lights	232.65
100871	Century Cardalls – Travel Vouchers	61.50
100872	BEServices – Servicing Photocopier	52.88
100873	N Thompson - Salary	596.14
100874	Inland Revenue – Tax & NI	2.16
100875	Cash – Petty Cash	68.98

*Chqs sent out 7th February 2007

07\025 ANY OTHER BUSINESS

- i District & County Council News
District Cllr Patrick reported that the next Cllr's Surgery will take place on the 17th March 2007 outside the Post Office in Harbury. Cllr Van Spall wished to make it known that he will in the Great Western Public House in Deppers Bridge at midday on the same date to hear concerns from residents of Deppers Bridge. County Cllr Stevens reported on the WCC budget process which had agreed with cross party support a 4.9% increase in Council Tax for the forthcoming year.

07\026 ADDITIONAL ITEMS FOR NEXT AGENDA – none.

007\027 DATE OF THE NEXT MEETING

The next Meeting of the Parish Council will be held on Thursday 22nd March 2007 at 7.30pm in the Village Hall.

The meeting closed at 9.26pm.

22 February 2007

- 426 -

Chairman.....Date.....

....